



Stage 4 Project Readiness and Approval

California Department of Technology, SIMM 19D, Revision 6/28/2017

4.4 General Information

Agency or State Entity Name:

Franchise Tax Board

Organization Code:

7730

Proposal Name:

Enterprise Data to Revenue 2 (EDR2) Project

Department of Technology Project Number: 7730-209

4.5 Submittal Information

Contact Information:

Contact First Name:

Chrissy

Contact Email:

Christina.Casale@ftb.ca.gov

Contact Last Name:

Casale

Contact Phone Number:

(916) 845-4116

Submission Date:

2/19/2021

Submission Type:

New Submission

Updated Submission (Pre-Approval)

Updated Submission (Post-Approval)

Withdraw Submission

Reason: Select...

If "Other," specify:

Sections Updated (For Updated Submissions only, check all that apply)

- 4.4 General Information
- 4.5 Submittal Information
- 4.6 Primary Solicitation Results
 - 4.6.1 Solicitation Key Action Dates Variance
 - 4.6.2 Addenda
 - 4.6.3 Final Bid Respondents
 - 4.6.4 Subcontractor Information
- 4.7 Ancillary Solicitation Status
- 4.8 Contract Management
- 4.9 Organizational Readiness
 - 4.9.1 Project Organization Chart
- 4.10 Project Readiness
- 4.11 Requirements Baseline
 - 4.11.1 Final Requirements Count
- 4.12 Schedule
 - 4.12.1 Schedule Summary
 - 4.12.2 Reason(s) for Difference
 - 4.12.3 High Level Master Schedule and Key Milestones
- 4.13 Cost Baseline
 - 4.13.1 Cost Summary
 - 4.13.2 Reason(s) for Difference
 - 4.13.3 Budget Change Proposal (BCP) Summary
 - 4.13.4 Financial Analysis Worksheets (Baseline)
- 4.14 Project Management Planning
- 4.15 Risk Register

Summary of Changes:

....

Project Approval Executive Transmittal

Attachment: Include the Project Approval Executive Transmittal as an attachment to your email submission.

Condition(s) from Previous Stage(s):

Condition #

| | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Condition Category | Select... If "Other," (specify) |
| Condition Sub-Category | Select... If "Other," specify: |
| Condition Assessment | Select... If "Other," specify: |
| Agency/State Entity Response Status | Select... If "Other," specify: |

Add additional conditions as needed.

4.6 Primary Solicitation Results

4.6.1 Solicitation Key Action Dates

| | |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Activity: | Other If "Other," specify: See RFP |
| Actual Start Date: | 4/30/2019 |
| Actual End Date: | 6/15/2021 |
| Actual Duration (Number of Business Days): | 529 |

Add additional key action date activities as needed.

4.6.2 Addenda

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Addenda Number: | 1 (For all addenda details, see caleprocure.ca.gov/event/7730/0000012787) |
| Addenda Category: | Modifications to functional, nonfunctional, or project/transition requirements If "Other," specify: |
| Addenda Number: | 2 |
| Addenda Category: | Modifications to functional, nonfunctional, or project/transition requirements If "Other," specify: Click here to enter text. |
| Addenda Number: | 3 |
| Addenda Category: | Modifications to functional, nonfunctional, or project/transition requirements If "Other," specify: Click here to enter text. |
| Addenda Number: | 4 |
| Addenda Category: | Modifications to functional, nonfunctional, or project/transition requirements If "Other," specify: Click here to enter text. |
| Addenda Number: | 5 |
| Addenda Category: | Modifications to functional, nonfunctional, or project/transition requirements If "Other," specify: Click here to enter text. |
| Addenda Number: | 6 |
| Addenda Category: | Modifications to functional, nonfunctional, or project/transition requirements If "Other," specify: Click here to enter text. |
| Addenda Number: | 7 |

| | |
|--|--|
| Addenda Category: | Modifications to functional, nonfunctional, or project/transition requirements If "Other," specify: Click here to enter text. |
| Add additional addenda as needed. | |
| 4.6.3 Final Bid Respondents | |
| Respondent Name: | OnCore Consulting, LLC |
| Compliant: | Yes |
| Non-compliance Category: | Select... If "Other," specify: |
| Add additional non-compliance categories as needed. | |
| Negotiations Conducted: | Yes |
| Intent to Award: | Yes |
| Protest: | No |
| Protest Disposition: | Select... |
| Contract Number: | Not available... |
| Contract Start Date: | 07/01/2021 |
| Contract End Date: | 12/31/2026 |
| Total Contract Cost (without Optional Years): | \$543.2 M |
| Optional Years: | |
| Option Years (Number of Months): | Five 1-year total M&O contract options |
| Total Cost of Optional Years: | \$215.9 M |
| Total Contract Cost (with Optional Years): | \$759.1 M |
| Respondent Name: | Accenture, LLP |
| Compliant: | Yes |
| Non-compliance Category: | Choose an item. If "Other," specify: |
| Add additional non-compliance categories as needed. | |
| Negotiations Conducted: | Yes |
| Intent to Award: | No |
| Protest: | |
| Protest Disposition: | Choose an item. |
| Contract Number: | Click here to enter text. |
| Contract Start Date: | N/A |
| Contract End Date: | |
| Total Contract Cost (without Optional Years): | Click here to enter text. |

| | |
|---|---------------------------|
| Optional Years: | |
| Option Years (Number of Months): | Click here to enter text. |
| Total Cost of Optional Years: | Click here to enter text. |
| Total Contract Cost (with Optional Years): | |

Add additional respondents as needed.

4.6.4 Subcontractor Information

Complete the subcontractor information only for the subcontractors of the intended awardee.

| Subcontractor Name | SB | DVBE | N/A |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CGI Technologies and Solutions, Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Deloitte, LP | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Performance Technology Partners (PTP), Inc. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| ASR Analytics, LLC | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Charis Consulting Group, LLC | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Impression Technology, Inc. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| DVBE Technology Group, Inc. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Add additional subcontractor as needed.

4.7 Ancillary Solicitation Status

| | |
|--|---|
| Solicitation Title: | #1 Independent Verification & Validation and Quality Assurance (combined) |
| Status: | In Progress |
| Awardee Name: | Unknown |
| Contract Number: | |
| Contract Start Date: | 6/01/2021 |
| Contract End Date: | 12/31/2026 |
| Total Contract Cost (without Optional Years): | Unknown |
| Optional Years: | |
| Option Years (Number of Months): | |
| Total Cost of Optional Years: | |
| Total Contract Cost (with Optional Years): | |
| Solicitation Title: | #2 Independent Security Assessment (ISA) |
| Status: | In Progress |
| Awardee Name: | Unknown |
| Contract Number: | Click here to enter text. |
| Contract Start Date: | 7/01/2021 |
| Contract End Date: | 12/31/2026 |
| Total Contract Cost (without Optional Years): | Unknown |
| Optional Years: | |

| | |
|---|----------------------------|
| Optional Years (Number of Months): | Click here to enter text. |
| Total Cost of Optional Years: | Click here to enter text. |
| Total Contract Cost (with Optional Years): | Click here to enter text.. |

Add additional ancillary solicitation statuses as needed.

4.8 Contract Management

| Complete the questions below in reference to the primary solicitation. | Yes | No |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Has the role of Contract Manager been assigned and has the Contract Manager reviewed and gained an understanding of the scope, activities, tasks and deliverables of the contract? If "No," briefly explain below why this has not been accomplished: ... | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Does the assigned Contract Manager understand the processes for post award contract activities, including contract amendments, contract work authorizations, terms and conditions, and contract escalation/resolution? If "No," briefly explain below why this has not been accomplished: ... | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Has a post-award or kickoff meeting between the Contract Manager and state project team members been scheduled to align state and contractor expectations related to contract, budget, invoicing, requirements review, and contractor incentives? If "No," briefly explain below why this has not been accomplished: ... | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Does the Contract Manager understand the Agency/state entity and federal processes, policy, and applicable procedures? If "No," briefly explain below why this has not been accomplished: ... | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Does the Contract Manager have a plan to collect and assess contractor and project performance information on a regular basis (e.g., establish meetings with Project Managers, communication techniques)? If "No," briefly explain below why this has not been accomplished: ... | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

4.9 Organizational Readiness

| | Yes | No |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Does the Agency/state entity currently have a mature release management process with a repeatable and scalable testing methodology that supports all stages of testing (system, integration, security, performance, interfaces, regression, user acceptance, and accessibility)? If "No," briefly describe below the release management process that will be used to manage, plan, schedule, and control a software build through the different phases and environments, including testing and deploying software releases: ... | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Does the project team have a clear understanding of the lines of business that will be impacted by the project? If "No," briefly explain below how the Agency/state entity plans to educate the project team to ensure all members have a clear understanding of the goals that the project intends to achieve: ... | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Does the Agency/state entity have processes and methodologies in place to support organizational change management (OCM) activities identified in Stage 1, Section 1.12.4 Training and Organizational Change Management? If "No," briefly describe below how the Agency/state entity will perform OCM activities for this proposal: ... | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Does the Agency/state entity have dedicated resources assigned to business process improvement or business process reengineering activities? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

If “Yes,” specify the areas of business process improvement needed below:
 See Business Implementation Plan
 If “No,” briefly explain below how the Agency/state entity will perform business process improvement or business process reengineering activities for this proposal:

4.9.1 Project Organization Chart

Attachment: Include the project organization charts as an attachment to your email submission.

4.10 Project Readiness

1. Select the system development methodology you plan to use to design and develop the new system:

- Agile/Iterative Waterfall Other

If “Other,” specify the methodology and provide a brief description below:

The system development methodology is Iterative Waterfall. In Iterative Waterfall, each release is broken down into several iterations. Each iteration has its own analysis, development, and testing phase. After the testing phases are completed for all iterations, functionality in each iteration is bundled into a single release package.

Describe below the Agency/state entity’s past project experience using the system development methodology identified. If this methodology has never been used before, describe the training and staff development that will be provided to prepare staff to leverage this methodology.

FTB started using the Iterative Waterfall methodology during the EDR Project and continues to use it to maintain EDR functionality. FTB has been using the Iterative Waterfall for about 10 years.

| | Yes | No | N/A |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2. Has the Agency/state entity received approval of the Evaluation and Selection Report? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Has the Agency/state entity engaged the Office of Technology Services (OTech) for capacity planning and the development of the solution delivery timeline? If “No” and data center capacity planning and alignment services are needed and the Agency/state entity has not engaged OTech, explain below: ... | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Have resource commitments been obtained for all staff resources identified in Stage 3, Section 3.19 Staffing Allocation? If “No,” explain below why commitments have not been obtained and the plan to mitigate this risk: ... | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 5. Does the project staffing plan ensure sufficient staff resources are available to perform project activities while also supporting maintenance and ongoing operations for other Agency/state entity initiatives? If “No,” explain below how sufficient resource levels will be maintained for all project activities: ... | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 6. Have all identified project leads received formal project management training? If “No,” explain below how the Agency/state entity will educate the project team leads on project management basics: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 7. If California Department of Technology oversight services are required, has a Service Request (SR) for oversight services been submitted? If “Yes,” provide the SR number below; if “No,” provide a brief explanation below: SR #2249386 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. Has the project begun procurement activities for Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) services per the State Administrative Manual Section 4940.3? If “Yes,” indicate the planned start date for IV&V services below: 6/1/2021 If “No” or “N/A,” provide a brief explanation below: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

4.11 Requirements Baseline

Yes No N/A

1. Has the Agency/state entity modified any mid-level or detailed solution requirements since obtaining approval of the Stage 3 Solution Development?
- If "Yes": Percentage of Change
28%
- Enter the percentage of change in the space provided.
 - Describe below the modification(s), impact(s) to the recommended alternative, and how the requirements align with the business objectives established in the Stage 1 Business Analysis:
 FTB submitted a total of 2,261 active Detailed Solution Requirements (DSR) with the S2AA. Since then we have clarified the requirements by adding 322 DSRs and inactivated 307 DSRs. There are now 2,276 active DSRs. The nature and scope of the changes were minor and the requirements continue to align with the MidLevel Requirements submitted with the S2AA, and the business objectives established in the S1BA. During the S3SD we inadvertently transposed the number of requirements between the Non-Functional Requirements and the Project/Transition Requirements. We should have reported 100 Non-Functional Requirements and 165 Project/Transition Requirements.
2. Has the requirements traceability been updated to accurately reflect any modification(s)?

4.11.1 Final Requirements Count

| | |
|--|-------|
| Total Functional Requirements: | 1,994 |
| Total Non-Functional Requirements: | 111 |
| Total Project/Transition Requirements: | 171 |
| Requirements Grand Total | 2,276 |

4.12 Schedule

4.12.1 Schedule Summary

| | Estimated Date | Final Baseline Date | Difference |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------|
| Project Planning Start Date | 1/01/2017 | 1/01/2017 | |
| Project Planning End Date | 6/30/2021 | 6/30/2021 | |
| Project Start Date | 7/01/2021 | 7/01/2021 | |
| Project End Date | 12/31/2026 | 12/31/2026 | |

4.12.2 Reason(s) for Difference

...

4.12.3 High Level Master Schedule and Key Milestones

Attachment: Include the high level master schedule and key milestones as an attachment to your email submission.

4.13 Cost Baseline

4.13.1 Cost Summary

| | Estimated Proposed Cost | Final Baseline Cost | Difference |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Total Planning Cost (One-Time) | \$23,106,442 | \$19,246,714 | (\$3,859,728) |
| Total Project Cost (One-Time) | \$584,541,963 | \$701,394,793 | \$116,852,830 |
| Total Future Operations IT Staff and OE&E Cost (Continuing) | \$53,885,637 | \$31,556,020 | (\$22,329,617) |
| TOTAL: | \$661,534,042 | \$752,197,527 | \$90,663,485 |
| Annual Future Operations IT Costs (M&O) | \$9,160,960 | \$15,812,516 | \$6,651,556 |

4.13.2 Reason(s) for Difference

The primary reason for the difference is that the final baseline cost includes the actual primary vendor proposed contract amount, while the original amount was an estimate created in 2018 using a third party estimating tool.

4.13.3 Budget Change Proposal (BCP) Summary

Budget Request ID 7730-024-BCP-2021-A1

| | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Budget Request Year | FL 21/2022 |
| Requested Amount | \$32,854,000 |
| Status | Pending |
| Budget Request ID | 7730-001-BCP-2021-GB |
| Budget Request Year | BCP 21/2022 |
| Requested Amount | \$55,600,000 |
| Status | Pending |

Add additional BCP summaries as needed.

4.13.4 Financial Analysis Worksheets (Baseline)

Attachment: Include the FAWs as an attachment to your email submission.

4.14 Project Management Planning

| | Yes | No | N/A |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Are the following project management plans or project artifacts complete, approved by the designated Agency/state entity authority, and available for California Department of Technology review? | | | |
| Risk Register | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If "No," provide the status below; if "N/A," provide an explanation below: | | | |
| | | | |
| Contract Management Plan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If "No," provide the status below; if "N/A," provide an explanation below: | | | |
| | | | |
| Cost Management Plan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If "No," provide the status below; if "N/A," provide an explanation below: | | | |
| Implementation Management Plan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If "No," provide the status below; if "N/A," provide an explanation below: | | | |
| | | | |
| Requirements Management Plan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If "No," provide the status below; if "N/A," provide an explanation below: | | | |
| | | | |
| Deliverable Expectation Document (DED) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If "No," provide the status below; if "N/A," provide an explanation below: | | | |
| In lieu of the DED, the State will use the Deliverable Review Checklist (DRC). The DRC will list all the requirements, functional and non-functional requirements, included in a given deliverable. The State will develop the DRC for the EDR2 deliverables and will work with the vendor to get their concurrence. Then, the State will use the DRC to validate that the vendor met the requirements in the DRC prior to deliverable acceptance. | | | |

4.15 Risk Register

Attachment: Include the risk register as an attachment to your email submission.

Stage 4 Project Readiness and Approval – Department of Technology Use Only

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Original "New Submission" Date | 2/23/2021 |
| Form Received Date | 2/23/2021 |

| | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|
| Form Accepted Date | 2/23/2021 |
| Form Status | Complete |
| Form Status Date | 4/1/2021 |
| Form Disposition | Approved |
| | If "Other," specify: |
| Form Disposition Date | 4/1/2021 |